

# FINNEGAN

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP  
WWW.FINNEGAN.COM

## FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL

### TO

Name: Examiner Ryan Jakovac  
Company: USPTO  
Fax Number: 571.270.6003  
Subject: Interview Request Form  
Application No. 10/720,933

Date: April 13, 2010  
Phone Number: 571.270.5003  
Total Pages (including cover): 2  
Confirmation Copy to Follow: No

### FROM

Name: Steve Thomas  
Phone Number: 202.408.4112

Verified by: Amy - MD 404  
Our File No.: 06592.0307-00

### MESSAGE

Please see attached.

**If there is a problem with this transmission, notify the sender at the number above.**

**This facsimile is intended only for the individual to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone (collect), and return the original message by first-class mail to the address below.**

901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW | WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413

PHONE: 202.408.4000 | FAX: 202.408.4400

PAGE 1/2 \* RCVD AT 4/13/2010 4:04:42 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFAX-6/8 \* DNIS:2706003 \* CSID:202 408 4400 \* DURATION (mm-ss):01-10

**Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form**

Application No.: 10/720,933

Attorney Docket No. 03-1027

First Named Applicant: Mahesh RAJAGOPALAN

Examiner: Jakovac, Ryan

Status of Application: Rejected

Group Art Unit: 2445

**Tentative Participants:**

(1) Ryan Jakovac, (2) Steve Thomas (202.408.4112)

**Proposed Date of Interview:** April 14, 2010**Proposed Time:** 3:00 PM**Type of Interview Requested:** ☒ Telephonic☐ Personal☐ Video Conference**Exhibit to be Shown or Demonstrated?** ☐ Yes ☒ No**Issues to be Discussed**


<u>Issues (Rei.)</u>	<u>Claims</u>	<u>Reference</u>	<u>Agreed</u>	<u>Not Agreed</u>
103	1-68	<i>Cruickshank, Bernan</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Regarding claims 1-13, 24-36, 47, and 49-61, Applicants respectfully submit that the cited references do not teach or suggest at least "connecting the call between the calling party and the called party when the calling party denies the collaboration request," as recited by independent claim 1 (emphasis added).

Regarding claims 14-23, 37-46, 48, and 62-68, Applicants respectfully submit that the cited references do not teach or suggest at least "receiving, while the call is available to be answered by the called party, a response to the notification from the called party indicating that the called party requests a collaboration to share data interactively with the calling party," as recited by independent claim 14 (emphasis added).

**An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on:** \_\_\_\_\_.

**Note:** This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the Examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR § 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

  
 Steven D. Thomas, Jr., Reg. No. 64,421
\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner/SPE Signature